Genomes of the Venus Flytrap and Close Relatives Unveil the Roots of Plant Carnivory

Highlights

- An early whole-genome duplication is the source of carnivory-associated genes
- Trap-specific genes were recruited from the roots
- Expansion of specific gene families enabled fine-tuning of hunting styles
- Evolution of plant carnivory was paralleled by massive gene loss

Authors

Gergo Palfalvi, Thomas Hackl, Niklas Terhoeven, ..., Jörg Schultz, Mitsuyasu Hasebe, Rainer Hedrich

Correspondence

hedrich@botanik.uni-wuerzburg.de (R.H.), joerg.schultz@uni-wuerzburg.de (J.S.), mhasebe@nibb.ac.jp (M.H.)

In Brief

Palfalvi et al. reconstruct the evolution of plant carnivory in the Droseraceae by comparative genome analysis. A common whole-genome duplication is the source for recruitment of genes to carnivory-related functions. Different hunting styles evolve by expansions of defined gene families. The analyzed genomes have massively lost genes.





Article

Genomes of the Venus Flytrap and Close Relatives Unveil the Roots of Plant Carnivory

Gergo Palfalvi,^{1,2} Thomas Hackl,^{3,4,15} Niklas Terhoeven,^{4,5} Tomoko F. Shibata,¹ Tomoaki Nishiyama,⁶

Markus Ankenbrand,^{3,5,16} Dirk Becker,⁴ Frank Förster,^{3,5} Matthias Freund,^{4,5} Anda Iosip,^{4,5} Ines Kreuzer,⁴

Franziska Saul,^{4,5} Chiharu Kamida,^{1,2} Kenji Fukushima,^{1,2,4} Shuji Shigenobu,^{1,2} Yosuke Tamada,^{1,2,14} Lubomir Adamec,⁷

Yoshikazu Hoshi,⁸ Kunihiko Ueda,⁹ Traud Winkelmann,¹⁰ Jörg Fuchs,¹¹ Ingo Schubert,¹¹ Rainer Schwacke,¹²

Khaled Al-Rasheid,^{4,13} Jörg Schultz,^{3,5,17,*} Mitsuyasu Hasebe,^{1,2,*} and Rainer Hedrich^{4,*}

¹National Institute for Basic Biology, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan

²Department of Basic Biology, The Graduate School for Advanced Studies, SOKENDAI, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan

³Department for Bioinformatics, Biocenter, University Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

⁴Institute for Molecular Plant Physiology and Biophysics, University Würzburg, Julius-von-Sachs-Platz 2, 97082 Würzburg, Germany

⁵Center for Computational and Theoretical Biology, Faculty for Biology, University Würzburg, Klara-Oppenheimer-Weg 32, Campus Hubland Nord, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

⁶Advanced Science Research Center, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-0934, Japan

⁷Department of Functional Ecology, Institute of Botany CAS, 379 01 Třeboň, Czech Republic

⁸Department of Plant Science, School of Agriculture, Tokai University, Kumamoto 862-8652, Japan

⁹Faculty of Education, Gifu University, Gifu 501-1193, Japan

¹⁰Institute of Horticultural Production Systems, Woody Plant and Propagation Physiology, Leibniz University Hannover, Herrenhäuser Str. 2, 30419 Hannover, Germany

¹¹Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), Gatersleben, Germany

¹²Institute of Bio- and Geosciences (IBG-2: Plant Sciences), Forschungszentrum Jülich, Corrensstraße 3, 06466 Gatersleben, Germany

¹³Zoology Department, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

¹⁴School of Engineering, Utsunomiya University, Utsunomiya 321-8585, Japan

¹⁵Present address: Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

¹⁶Present address: Max Planck Institute for Medical Research, Department of Biomolecular Mechanisms, Heidelberg, Germany ¹⁷Lead Contact

*Correspondence: joerg.schultz@uni-wuerzburg.de (J.S.), mhasebe@nibb.ac.jp (M.H.), hedrich@botanik.uni-wuerzburg.de (R.H.) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.04.051

SUMMARY

Most plants grow and develop by taking up nutrients from the soil while continuously under threat from foraging animals. Carnivorous plants have turned the tables by capturing and consuming nutrient-rich animal prey, enabling them to thrive in nutrient-poor soil. To better understand the evolution of botanical carnivory, we compared the draft genome of the Venus flytrap (*Dionaea muscipula*) with that of its aquatic sister, the waterwheel plant *Aldrovanda vesiculosa*, and the sundew *Drosera spatulata*. We identified an early whole-genome duplication in the family as source for carnivory-associated genes. Recruitment of genes to the trap from the root especially was a major mechanism in the evolution of carnivory, supported by family-specific duplications. Still, these genomes belong to the gene poorest land plants sequenced thus far, suggesting reduction of selective pressure on different processes, including non-carnivorous nutrient acquisition. Our results show how non-carnivorous plants evolved into the most skillful green hunters on the planet.

INTRODUCTION

Carnivorous plants attract, capture, and digest small-animal prey with the consequent active uptake and usage of the preyderived nutrients [1, 2]. Despite the high level of specialization, plant carnivory has evolved several times independently in flowering plants [3, 4]. This includes the evolution of a wide range of capture organs, with trapping mechanisms frequently including rapid movements: the touch-sensitive snap traps in *Dionaea muscipula* (*Di. muscipula*) and *Aldrovanda vesiculosa* (*A. vesiculosa*), the suction traps in *Utricularia* species, and the snap tentacles in certain *Drosera* species. Following the initial catch, ongoing mechanical activation [5] by the prey and chemical compounds from the prey [6] trigger the production of jasmonate (JA), which activates the carnivore's digestive system. Specialized glands produce and secrete lytic enzymes that partially break down the insect's chitin-based exoskeleton and degrade most of the prey's internal soft tissues. The released nutrients are actively taken up by the plant cells from the digestive fluid through newly synthesized and membrane-placed transporters [7].

In recent years, the genomes of several carnivorous plants were sequenced, providing new insights into various aspects of the ecology and evolution of plant carnivory. *Utricularia gibba*



Article





Figure 1. Genome Evolution

(A-C) Whole plants and traps of (A) A. vesiculosa, (B) Di. Muscipula, and (C) Dr. spatulata.

(D) Phylogenetic relationships of the three carnivorous Droseracaea and nine other species used in the study (*Beta vulgaris*, *Utricularia gibba* [carnivorous], *Solanum lycopersicum*, *Carica papaya*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Gossypium raimondii*, *Manihot esculenta*, *Cephalotus folicularis* [carnivorous], and *Aquilegia co-erulea*). Identified whole-genome duplications at the base of the Eudicots (γ), the base of the Droseraceae (D β), and in *A. vesiculosa* (A α) as well as the independent emergences of carnivorous traits (fly) are indicated.

(E) Content of the genome and the transposon only assemblies.

(F) Age distribution of LTRs (long terminal repeats) indicated by number of substitutions as identified in transposon only assemblies. Upper right corner shows their relative distribution.

See also Figure S1 and Tables S1–S3.

[8] and *Genlisea aurea* [9], two members of the Lentibulariaceae family, were found to possess the smallest genomes of any known vascular plant. Studies on *Drosera capensis* revealed the rapid expansion of proteases, enzymes essential for the digestion of captured prey [10]. The analysis of specific adaptations in the pitcher plant *Cephalotus follicularis* and closely related non-carnivorous and carnivorous plants unveiled the origins of digestive enzymes, thus highlighting distinct trajectories for the evolution of this functional complex [11].

Here, we sequenced and compared the genomes of three related carnivorous species to reconstruct the evolutionary history of botanical carnivory and unravel clade- and species-specific adaptations. The analyzed species *A. vesiculosa* (Figure 1A), *Di. muscipula* (Figure 1B), and *Drosera spatulata* (*Dr. spatulata*) (Figure 1C) belong to one of the largest carnivorous families, the Droseraceae. Including members from all three genera of the Droseraceae enabled us to reconstruct early events in the emergence of carnivory.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A Whole-Genome Duplication Precedes Speciation

Di. muscipula lines that are commercially available for horticulture and for standardized physiological and molecular studies are produced by vegetative propagation over years to several decades. As this reduced selective pressure could affect genome metrics, we, in addition to cultured lines, collected leaf samples from native *Di. muscipula* in North Carolina and determined their genome size by flow cytometry (STAR Methods; Table S1). The genome size of both cultured and wild *Di. muscipula* is 3.18 Gbp and thus comparable in size with the human genome. In contrast, the genome sizes obtained for *A. vesiculosa* and *Dr. spatulata* are 509 Mbp (Table S1) and 323 Mbp [12], respectively. We sequenced (Data S1A), assembled, and annotated the genomes of these three species by integrating short- and long-read sequencing technologies (Table 1; STAR Methods). To unravel the evolutionary mechanisms



Table 1. Assembly and Annotation Statistics									
	Genome Size (Mbp)	Assembly Size (Mbp)	No. Contigs	Longest Contig (Mbp)	N50 (Kbp)	Completeness (BUSCO)			
A. vesiculosa	509	420	2.408	3.4	314	C: 86.9% [S: 76.6%, D: 10.3%]			
Di. muscipula	3.187	1.500	104.847	1	35	C: 83.6% [S: 80.5%, D: 3.1%]			
Dr. spatulata	293	238	1.061	3.4	705	C: 86.0% [S: 82.9%, D: 3.1%]			
	No. of Predicted Genes	Genes with Interpro Annotation	Average Intron Length (bp)	Average Exon Length (bp)		Completeness (BUSCO)			
A. vesiculosa	25.123	24.450	401	224		C: 84.3% [S: 73.1%, D: 11.2%]			
Di. muscipula	21.135	19.873	634	229		C: 76.2% [S: 72.5%, D: 3.7%]			
Dr. spatulata	18.111	17.645	400	222		C: 83.6% [S: 80.1%, D: 3.5%]			

leading to the large difference in genome size, we first searched the draft genome sequences for signs of whole-genome duplications (WGDs). The age distribution of paralogous genes revealed distinct peaks indicative of WGDs (STAR Methods; Figure S1). According to those data, a first WGD occurred at the base of the carnivorous Droseraceae family [13] and is thus shared by all three species considered here. Supported by copy numbers of syntenic sequences, *A. vesiculosa* appears to have undergone an additional genome triplication (Figure 1D; Table S2). This more recent event agrees with the increased fraction of duplicated genes compared to the other two carnivorous species (10.3% in *A. vesiculosa* but 3.1% in *Dr. spatulata* and *Di. muscipula*; Table 1). Despite its large genome size, no signs of additional WGD were identified in *Di. muscipula*.

Transposons Massively Bloated the Venus Flytrap Genome

Repetitive sequences, such as long transposable elements (LTRs), can make up a large part of plant genomes [14] but typically are poorly represented in draft assemblies [15]. As the draft assembly we obtained for Di. muscipula is, with 1.5 Gbp, substantially smaller than the size of 3.18 Gbp determined by flow cytometry, we used a genome-assembly-independent method for the characterization of the repeat landscapes of the three genomes [16] (Table S3). This method identifies reads originating from repetitive elements and assembles them de novo. According to this analysis, 1.236 Gbp of the Di. muscipula genome (38.78% of the measured genome size) consists of LTRslargely exceeding the observations for A. vesiculosa (89 Mbp; 17.5%) and Dr. spatulata (17 Mbp; 5.7%; Figure 1E). Furthermore, the LTRs of Di. muscipula are highly self-similar (Figure 1F; STAR Methods), indicating that they arose in a recent expansion. For genes of Di. muscipula, the mean intron length is 1.5 times larger than for A. vesiculosa and Dr. spatulata (Table S1). Unlike the low amount of LTRs, Dr. spatulata has the highest count of tandem gene duplications observed (Figure S2). The presence of leucin-rich-repeat (LRR) and IQ domains in these genes suggests their role in the perception of prey via chemical cues. Taken together, the genomes of the three Droseraceae species were shaped by a common WGD followed by an additional whole-genome triplication in A. vesiculosa, extensive tandem gene duplications in *Dr. spatulata*, and a recent explosion of LTRs in *Di. muscipula*.

Evolution of Carnivory Is Associated with Massive Gene Loss

Despite the evolution of a new and complex trait, carnivory, the three Droseraceae considered here belong to the gene-poorest vascular plants sequenced to date (21,135 genes in Di. muscipula, 25,123 in A. vesiculosa, and 18,111 in Dr. spatulata; Figure S3). Still, we identified thirty orthogroups specific for the three Droseraceae, i.e., they are not shared with any of the nine outgroup species, including two carnivorous and seven non-carnivorous plants (Figure S4). Based on Gene Ontology (GO) terms, this gene set was significantly enriched in carboxypeptidases, hydrolases, and endopeptidase inhibitors, all of which can be directly associated with prey digestions (Data S1B). Additionally, genes involved in the regulation of transcription (GO: 0003700 and GO: 0000987) were enriched. To search for gene families that had significantly changed size in the carnivorous Droseraceae, we generated a birth-death-innovation model (STAR Methods) integrating the three carnivorous Droseraceae with two carnivorous and seven non-carnivorous angiosperm species (Figure 2A). In congruence with the observed overall reduction in gene content, 1,912 groups were contracted in the Droseraceae. These included genes involved in kinetochore formation [17, 18] (Figure S5), which have previously been shown to be associated with the occurrence of holocentric chromosomes [19-21]. Further losses affected the ubiquitin (UBQ) gene family often involved in stress responses (Figure S5) and genes related to root development (Figure S5). The last one is prominent in A. vesiculosa, where we could not identify key regulators of root development, such as WOX5, RHD6, LBD1, ANRs, and CASPs (Figure S5). This can be a consequence of the fact that its radicle is arrested early after germination and the lack of any root system in the adult plant [22].

Carnivory Is Associated with the Expansion of Distinct Gene Families

Contrasting the general trend of gene loss, we identified 279 expanded orthogroups (Figure 2A). Intriguingly, these are enriched in functions directly associated with the different steps

CellPress OPEN ACCESS



Figure 2. Gene Family Expansion and Contraction

(A) Numbers of expanded (blue) and contracted (pink) orthogroups shared among different lineages.

(B) Functional annotation of the 279 expanded gene families common to all three Droseraceae and their potential association with plant carnivory. Arrows indicate chronological order in the hunting cycle.

See also Figures S4 and S5.

of the hunting cycle-prey attraction, perception, digestion, and nutrient absorption (Figure 2B; Data S1C). The high number of enzymes involved in terpenoid and other secondary metabolite synthesis, as well as some sugar transporters, hint at their role in the attraction of prey. Expanded membrane receptor and signal transducer families appear to be adaptations related to the perception of prey, whereas peptidases, nucleases, and other hydrolases can be used for prey digestion. Finally, numerous transporters for nitrogen, phosphates, sulfate, amino acids, oligopeptides, sugars, and metallic ions were expanded, likely building the core of the nutrient uptake system. These are accompanied by expanded gene families related to vesicle transport, which were previously shown to play a role in nutrient uptake [23] as well as in digestive fluid release [24]. On the plant inner regulatory systems, GO terms related to JA metabolism and JA signaling were enriched. Because the expansion of the underlying gene families precedes the split of the three carnivores, our results suggest that this process likely evolved already in a common ancestor of the Droseraceae. Lastly, gene families that control structural features of the traps, namely the mucilage production and the ability to detect prey-induced trap movements, were over-represented. Although auxin was reported to be accumulated locally in Drosera traps following insect capture [25], further investigations have challenged the role of auxin in capture and leaf movement [26]. Interestingly, we found several small auxin upregulated RNA (SAUR) genes and related families, including V-ATPases, aquaporins, and expansins, are expanded in Droseraceae. Together, this supports the notion that gene duplications contributed to all four steps of plant carnivory (Figure 2B). At the base of the snap-trap-bearing species, we found 184 GO terms expanded (Data S1D). This includes leaf formation (GO:0010338), which suggests their contribution to the more complex leaf-trap morphology in this group. Further enriched terms cover "cell wall biogenesis" and "cell wall modification." Indeed, the cell wall is crucial for the trap's snapping mechanism. Additionally, we found terms possibly related to gland function ("fatty acid beta oxidation" and "Golgi vesicle transport") as well as digestion ("metalloendopeptidase activity" and "cysteine-type endopeptidase inhibitors").

Recruitment of Genes to Carnivory

To understand the evolutionary origin of the genes involved in carnivory in the Droseraceae, we integrated the genomic data of *Di. muscipula* with the transcriptomes of ten different tissues, including resting and prey-processing traps and their glands [27]. For 15,964 predicted genes, we found an FPKM (fragments per kilobase of exon model per million reads mapped) >1 in at least one tissue. We classified the tissue specificity of each gene over all tissues and conditions using a Shannon entropyderived method [28] (STAR Methods). This allowed us to functionally characterize different parts of the trap and their associated genes (Figure 3; Data S1M). We extracted all *Arabidopsis thaliana*









(legend on next page)

orthologs of genes specific for activated glands in *Di. muscipula*. Surprisingly, these are significantly enriched in root-associated terms (Data S1E). This situation strongly suggests that genes used for prey-derived nutrient absorption in *Di. muscipula* were recruited from the root, the organ engaged with soil nutrient exploration and absorption in non-carnivorous plants.

In *Di. muscipula*, the rim of the trap secretes volatiles to attract prey [29, 30]. Orthologs of rim-specific genes can be found in the nectaries of non-carnivorous plants [31]. This includes the sugar transporter SWEET9, which rewards pollinating insects [32] in floral nectaries, as well as genes responsible for volatile and secondary metabolite synthesis. Interestingly, this set of genes overlaps with the set of expanded gene families described in the previous section, further highlighting the importance of recruitment and expansion of genes with originally non-carnivorous functions to carnivory-related processes (Table S9).

Specific WRKY Transcription Factors Regulate Carnivory Genes

In the roots of non-carnivorous plants, nutrient transporters are constitutively expressed. In their glands, however, the expression of transporters is switched on only after nutrient-rich prey has been caught [27, 33]. This raises the more general questions whether and, if so, how carnivory-related genes came under the control of gland-specific promoters. To this end, we analyzed transcription factor binding motifs in the upstream regions of gland-associated genes in Di. muscipula (STAR Methods). In addition to insect-based stimulation, we also used coronatine (COR), a molecular analogon of JA-IIe, to mimic the presence of prey in the trap. COR induces the secretion of digestive enzymes and the expression of nutrient transporters in the glands [27]. We found that the upstream regions of genes activated upon COR stimulation or insect capture are enriched specifically in WRKY6 and WRKY29 binding sites, respectively (Figures 3B-3E; Table S4). Consistently, genes orthologous to WRKY6 and WRKY29 transcription factors are specifically expressed in the activated traps (Data S1G and S1H). Furthermore, the genomes of A. vesiculosa and Dr. spatulata also code for orthologs of these transcription factors (Data S1I). Phylogenetic reconstructions revealed a duplication common to all three Droseraceae for WRKY6 (Figure 3B) and one specific for the snap-trap plants for WRKY29 (Figure 3D). This might hint to a sub-functionalization following the duplication event. In non-carnivorous plants, orthologs of WRKY6 and WRKY29 are involved in responses to biotic and abiotic stresses [34, 35], including pathogens [36, 37] and herbivore attack [38]. This supports the notion that botanical carnivory originated from plant defense mechanisms [27, 39]. Taken together, our findings suggest that these transcription factors (TFs) could play a role in gland-specific, preyinduced gene expression. If this is the case, they could be of importance for the recruitment of genes to carnivory-related functions and thereby for the evolution of plant carnivory.



Parallel Evolution of Carnivory in Droseracaea and Nepenthaceae

The closest relative to Droseraceae is a clade containing the fully carnivorous Nepenthaceae and Drosophyllaceae, the partly carnivorous Dioncophyllaceae, and the non-carnivorous Ancistrocladaceae families. Members of this clade hunt with passive pitfall traps or mucilaginous glandular traps. Furthermore, stalked glands evolved independently in Droseraceae, Drosophyllaceae, and Dioncophyllaceae [40]. Together with the fundamentally different hunting strategies, this raises the question of whether carnivory evolved in the last common ancestor of these clades or independently in both. To address this, we compared the Droseraceae genomes with transcriptomic data for Nepenthes alata [41] and close non-carnivorous relatives in Caryophyllales and Arabidopsis thaliana. Orthogroups unique for all carnivorous species did not reveal functions related to plant carnivory. Furthermore, gene duplications before the split of Droseraceae and Nepenthaceae are enriched in 39 GO terms (Data S1J). Of those, only lipases and potassium transporters had a possible association with plant carnivory. By contrast, gene duplications at the base of the Droseraceae are enriched in carnivory-associated terms, including JA signaling, transport, and leaf formation (Data S1K). Thus, although first adaptations to plant carnivory might have evolved before the split of Droseraceae and Nepenthaceae [42], the present data suggest that the sophisticated mechanisms of carnivory evolved independently in these sister clades. Similar to the Droseraceae, the Nepenthaceae also underwent a WGD at the base of their clade [43]. It would be interesting to see whether this genomic event also was the basis for the evolution of plant carnivory in this sister clade.

Conclusions

In summary, our study of the three carnivorous sister species *Di. muscipula, A. vesiculosa,* and *Dr. spatulata* suggests a threestep scenario in the evolution of plant carnivory in the Droseraceae (Figure 4). First, a whole-genome duplication in their last common ancestor provided gene material for diversification into carnivorous functions. This included the expression of ancestral root genes in leaf-derived traps hand in hand with a co-option for carnivory-specific processes. Second, the use of a new nutrient source reduced the selective pressure on genes involved in non-carnivorous nutrition. This led to massive gene losses, resulting in three of the gene-poorest plant genomes sequenced thus far. Finally, different species-specific mechanisms enabled the emergence of clade-specific, independent hunting styles. Obviously, these three steps can overlap and might not have happened independently.

The genetic material underlying plant carnivory is present in most non-carnivorous plants, and whole-genome duplications happened frequently throughout the plant kingdom. Thus, the path to carnivory could have been open to most plants. To the

Figure 3. Function and Recruitment of Trap-Specific Genes in Di. muscipula

⁽A) Enriched biological function Gene Ontology terms from the tissue-specific expression list.

⁽B and D) Phylogenetic trees of WRKY transcription factor orthogroups predicted as potential regulators of carnivorous functions in *Di. muscipula* traps. (B) WRKY6 and (D) WRKY29.

⁽C and E) Enriched TF-binding motifs found in the upstream region of trap-specific genes, corresponding to the WRKY orthogroups. (C) WRKY6 and (E) WRKY29. See also Table S4.



Prey Perception Increased leaf complexity Vesicle transport SA signaling Digestion Fast movement Absorption Dionaea muscipula JA signaling WGD Aldrovanda vesiculosa WGD Drosera spatulata Chemical sensing WGD Nepenthes alata genomic events Drosophyllaceae Dioncophyllaceae events toward carnivory Ancistrocladaceae carnivorv

relief of the animal kingdom, only a select few have evolved along this route and became green hunters.

STAR * METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
 Plant growth conditions
- METHOD DETAILS
 - Flow cytometric determination of nuclear DNA contents
 - Sequencing
 - Transcriptomic
 - Assembly Strategies
 - Annotation
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
 - Birth-Death Innovation Model
 - Tissue Specificity
- DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cub.2020.04.051.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the EU 7th Framework Program (FP/20010- 2015)/ERC grant agreement 250194 Carnivorom to R.H., by a DFG-funded Reinhart Koselleck project (HE 1640/ 42-1; project number 415282803) to R.H., by a JSPS KAKENHI grant (22128008 to T.N. and 22128001, 22128002, 16H06378, and 17H06390 to M.H.), and by a Researchers Supporting Project (NSRSP-2019), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia to K.A.-R. and R.H. C.K. was supported by the RA program of National Institute for Basic Biology. *Dr. spatulata* cultivation, genome sequence, and computer analyses were partly supported by MPRF-NIBB, DIAF-NIBB, and ROIS National Institute of Genetics. The ORCIDs for the authors are as follows: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0838-7700 (J.S.),

Figure 4. Reconstruction of Key Steps in the Evolution of Plant Carnivory in the Droseraceae

Green boxes refer to gained features for carnivory; blue boxes indicate events related to genome evolution. The red circle with a decomposed fly refers to the possible emergence points of carnivory.

See also Figures S2 and S3.

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7425-8758 (M.H.), and https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3224-1362 (R.H.).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Y.H. and K.U. provided aseptic culture of Dr. spatulata. C.K. and K.F. maintained and collected Dr. spatulata samples and extracted DNA. T.F.S., T.N., S.S., and Y.T. performed genome sequencing of Dr. spatulata. L.A. cultured and provided A. vesiculosa plants. I.K. maintained aseptic cultures and extracted DNA for A. vesiculosa and Di. muscipula. T.W. established and provided aseptic cultures and determined genome size of Di. muscipula and A. vesiculosa. J.F. determined Di. muscipula genome size. G.P., T.F.S., T.N., and S.S. assembled and annotated Dr. spatulata genome. T.H., F.F., and M.A. developed and T.H. implemented the assembly strategy for the Di. muscipula genome. N.T. assembled A. vesiculosa genome, and G.P. and N.T. annotated all three species. G.P. identified WGDs, analyzed syntenic regions, identified and analyzed expanded and contracted gene families, designed figures, and performed comparison with N. alata. N.T. developed strategy for LTR identification, D.B. analyzed expanded protein families, F.S. performed tissuespecific gene analysis and performed orthogroup analyses, and M.F. analyzed composition and age of LTRs, performed TF binding site analysis, and analyzed A. thaliana orthologs of carnivory-specific genes. A.I. analyzed genome data and designed figures, I.S. analyzed genome data, and R.S. performed membrane protein classification. J.S. designed and directed computational analyses. G.P., J.S., M.H., R.H., and K.A.-R. wrote the paper with input from all authors. M.H. and R.H. devised the project. J.S., M.H., and R.H. are representatives of each group. G.P. and T.H. should be considered joint first authors.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: February 7, 2020 Revised: April 16, 2020 Accepted: April 21, 2020 Published: May 14, 2020

REFERENCES

- 1. Charles Darwin (1875). Insectivorous Plants, Second Edition (John Murray).
- Givnish, T.J., Burkhardt, E.L., Happel, R.E., and Weintraub, J.D. (1984). Carnivory in the bromeliad *Brocchinia reducta*, with a cost/benefit model for the general restriction of carnivorous plants to sunny, moist, nutrientpoor habitats. Am. Nat. *124*, 479–497.



Article

- Albert, V.A., Williams, S.E., and Chase, M.W. (1992). Carnivorous plants: phylogeny and structural evolution. Science 257, 1491–1495.
- Fleischmann, A., Schlauer, J., Smith, S.A., and Givnish, T.J. (2018). Evolution of carnivory in angiosperms. In Carnivorous Plants: Physiology, Ecology, and Evolution, A.M. Ellis, and L. Adamec, eds. (Oxford University), pp. 22–42.
- Böhm, J., Scherzer, S., Krol, E., Kreuzer, I., von Meyer, K., Lorey, C., Mueller, T.D., Shabala, L., Monte, I., Solano, R., et al. (2016). The venus flytrap dionaea muscipula counts prey-induced action potentials to induce sodium uptake. Curr. Biol. 26, 286–295.
- Mithöfer, A., Reichelt, M., and Nakamura, Y. (2014). Wound and insectinduced jasmonate accumulation in carnivorous *Drosera capensis*: two sides of the same coin. Plant Biol (Stuttg) 16, 982–987.
- Hedrich, R., and Neher, E. (2018). Venus flytrap: how an excitable, carnivorous plant works. Trends Plant Sci. 23, 220–234.
- Ibarra-Laclette, E., Lyons, E., Hernández-Guzmán, G., Pérez-Torres, C.A., Carretero-Paulet, L., Chang, T.H., Lan, T., Welch, A.J., Juárez, M.J.A., Simpson, J., et al. (2013). Architecture and evolution of a minute plant genome. Nature 498, 94–98.
- Leushkin, E.V., Sutormin, R.A., Nabieva, E.R., Penin, A.A., Kondrashov, A.S., and Logacheva, M.D. (2013). The miniature genome of a carnivorous plant Genlisea aurea contains a low number of genes and short non-coding sequences. BMC Genomics 14, 476.
- Butts, C.T., Bierma, J.C., and Martin, R.W. (2016). Novel proteases from the genome of the carnivorous plant Drosera capensis: structural prediction and comparative analysis. Proteins 84, 1517–1533.
- Fukushima, K., Fang, X., Alvarez-Ponce, D., Cai, H., Carretero-Paulet, L., Chen, C., Chang, T.H., Farr, K.M., Fujita, T., Hiwatashi, Y., et al. (2017). Genome of the pitcher plant Cephalotus reveals genetic changes associated with carnivory. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 1, 59.
- Hoshi, Y., Azumatani, M., Suyama, C., and Adamec, L. (2017). Determination of ploidy level and nuclear DNA content in the Droseraceae by flow cytometry. Cytologia (Tokyo) 82, 321–327.
- Yang, Y., Moore, M.J., Brockington, S.F., Mikenas, J., Olivieri, J., Walker, J.F., and Smith, S.A. (2018). Improved transcriptome sampling pinpoints 26 ancient and more recent polyploidy events in Caryophyllales, including two allopolyploidy events. New Phytol. 217, 855–870.
- Feschotte, C., Jiang, N., and Wessler, S.R. (2002). Plant transposable elements: where genetics meets genomics. Nat. Rev. Genet. 3, 329–341.
- Tørresen, O.K., Star, B., Mier, P., Andrade-Navarro, M.A., Bateman, A., Jarnot, P., Gruca, A., Grynberg, M., Kajava, A.V., Promponas, V.J., et al. (2019). Tandem repeats lead to sequence assembly errors and impose multi-level challenges for genome and protein databases. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, 10994–11006.
- Terhoeven, N., Schultz, J., and Hackl, T. (2018). reper: Genome-wide identification, classification and quantification of repetitive elements without an assembled genome. J. Open Source Softw. 3, 527.
- Sato, H., Shibata, F., and Murata, M. (2005). Characterization of a Mis12 homologue in Arabidopsis thaliana. Chromosome Res. 13, 827–834.
- Komaki, S., and Schnittger, A. (2017). The spindle assembly checkpoint in Arabidopsis is rapidly shut off during severe stress. Dev. Cell 43, 172– 185.e5.
- Kondo, K., and Nontachaiyapoom, S. (2008). An evidence on diffused centromeres in *Drosera* chromosomes provided by scanning electron microscopy. Chromosom. Bot. *3*, 79–81.
- Kolodin, P., Cempírková, H., Bureš, P., Horová, L., Veleba, A., Francová, J., Adamec, L., and Zedek, F. (2018). Holocentric chromosomes may be an apomorphy of Droseraceae. Plant Syst. Evol. 304, 1289–1296.
- Drinnenberg, I.A., deYoung, D., Henikoff, S., and Malik, H.S. (2014). Recurrent loss of CenH3 is associated with independent transitions to holocentricity in insects. eLife 3, e03676.
- 22. Cross, A. (2012). Aldrovanda: The Waterwheel Plant (Redfern Natural History Productions).

- Adlassnig, W., Koller-Peroutka, M., Bauer, S., Koshkin, E., Lendl, T., and Lichtscheidl, I.K. (2012). Endocytotic uptake of nutrients in carnivorous plants. Plant J. *71*, 303–313.
- 24. Scherzer, S., Shabala, L., Hedrich, B., Fromm, J., Bauer, H., Munz, E., Jakob, P., Al-Rascheid, K.A.S., Kreuzer, I., Becker, D., et al. (2017). Insect haptoelectrical stimulation of Venus flytrap triggers exocytosis in gland cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 114, 4822–4827.
- Bopp, M., and Weiler, E. (1985). Leaf blade movement of *Drosera* and auxin distribution. Naturwissenschaften 72, 434.
- Nakamura, Y., Reichelt, M., Mayer, V.E., and Mithöfer, A. (2013). Jasmonates trigger prey-induced formation of 'outer stomach' in carnivorous sundew plants. Proc. Biol. Sci. 280, 20130228.
- 27. Bemm, F., Becker, D., Larisch, C., Kreuzer, I., Escalante-Perez, M., Schulze, W.X., Ankenbrand, M., Van de Weyer, A.L., Krol, E., Al-Rasheid, K.A., et al. (2016). Venus flytrap carnivorous lifestyle builds on herbivore defense strategies. Genome Res. 26, 812–825.
- Schug, J., Schuller, W.P., Kappen, C., Salbaum, J.M., Bucan, M., and Stoeckert, C.J., Jr. (2005). Promoter features related to tissue specificity as measured by Shannon entropy. Genome Biol. 6, R33.
- Kreuzwieser, J., Scheerer, U., Kruse, J., Burzlaff, T., Honsel, A., Alfarraj, S., Georgiev, P., Schnitzler, J.P., Ghirardo, A., Kreuzer, I., et al. (2014). The Venus flytrap attracts insects by the release of volatile organic compounds. J. Exp. Bot. 65, 755–766.
- Jürgens, A., El-Sayed, A.M., and Suckling, D.M. (2009). Do carnivorous plants use volatiles for attracting prey insects? Funct. Ecol. 23, 875–887.
- Escalante-Pérez, M., Jaborsky, M., Reinders, J., Kurzai, O., Hedrich, R., and Ache, P. (2012). Poplar extrafloral nectar is protected against plant and human pathogenic fungus. Mol. Plant 5, 1157–1159.
- 32. Lin, I.W., Sosso, D., Chen, L.Q., Gase, K., Kim, S.G., Kessler, D., Klinkenberg, P.M., Gorder, M.K., Hou, B.H., Qu, X.Q., et al. (2014). Nectar secretion requires sucrose phosphate synthases and the sugar transporter SWEET9. Nature 508, 546–549.
- 33. Scherzer, S., Krol, E., Kreuzer, I., Kruse, J., Karl, F., von Rüden, M., Escalante-Perez, M., Müller, T., Rennenberg, H., Al-Rasheid, K.A.S., et al. (2013). The Dionaea muscipula ammonium channel DmAMT1 provides NH₄⁺ uptake associated with Venus flytrap's prey digestion. Curr. Biol. 23, 1649–1657.
- 34. Hsu, F.-C., Chou, M.-Y., Chou, S.-J., Li, Y.-R., Peng, H.-P., and Shih, M.-C. (2013). Submergence confers immunity mediated by the WRKY22 transcription factor in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 25, 2699–2713.
- Chen, Y.-F., Li, L.-Q., Xu, Q., Kong, Y.-H., Wang, H., and Wu, W.-H. (2009). The WRKY6 transcription factor modulates PHOSPHATE1 expression in response to low Pi stress in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell *21*, 3554–3566.
- 36. Asai, T., Tena, G., Plotnikova, J., Willmann, M.R., Chiu, W.-L., Gomez-Gomez, L., Boller, T., Ausubel, F.M., and Sheen, J. (2002). MAP kinase signalling cascade in Arabidopsis innate immunity. Nature 415, 977–983.
- Robatzek, S., and Somssich, I.E. (2002). Targets of AtWRKY6 regulation during plant senescence and pathogen defense. Genes Dev. 16, 1139– 1149.
- Skibbe, M., Qu, N., Galis, I., and Baldwin, I.T. (2008). Induced plant defenses in the natural environment: Nicotiana attenuata WRKY3 and WRKY6 coordinate responses to herbivory. Plant Cell 20, 1984–2000.
- 39. Fukushima, K., Fang, X., Alvarez-Ponce, D., Cai, H., Carretero-Paulet, L., Chen, C., Chang, T.H., Farr, K.M., Fujita, T., Hiwatashi, Y., et al. (2017). Genome of the pitcher plant Cephalotus reveals genetic changes associated with carnivory. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 1, 59.
- Renner, T., and Specht, C.D. (2011). A sticky situation: assessing adaptations for plant carnivory in the Caryophyllales by means of stochastic character mapping. Int. J. Plant Sci. 172, 889–901.
- 41. Leebens-Mack, J.H., Barker, M.S., Carpenter, E.J., Deyholos, M.K., Gitzendanner, M.A., Graham, S.W., Grosse, I., Li, Z., Melkonian, M., Mirarab, S., et al.; One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes Initiative (2019). One thousand plant transcriptomes and the phylogenomics of green plants. Nature 574, 679–685.





- Heubl, G., Bringmann, G., and Meimberg, H. (2006). Molecular phylogeny and character evolution of carnivorous plant families in Caryophyllales-revisited. Plant Biol (Stuttg) 8, 821–830.
- 43. Smith, S.A., Brown, J.W., Yang, Y., Bruenn, R., Drummond, C.P., Brockington, S.F., Walker, J.F., Last, N., Douglas, N.A., and Moore, M.J. (2018). Disparity, diversity, and duplications in the Caryophyllales. New Phytol. 217, 836–854.
- 44. Koren, S., Walenz, B.P., Berlin, K., Miller, J.R., Bergman, N.H., and Phillippy, A.M. (2017). Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive *k*-mer weighting and repeat separation. Genome Res. 27, 722–736.
- Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S.L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat. Methods 9, 357–359.
- 46. Walker, B.J., Abeel, T., Shea, T., Priest, M., Abouelliel, A., Sakthikumar, S., Cuomo, C.A., Zeng, Q., Wortman, J., Young, S.K., and Earl, A.M. (2014). Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. PLoS ONE 9, e112963.
- 47. Gnerre, S., Maccallum, I., Przybylski, D., Ribeiro, F.J., Burton, J.N., Walker, B.J., Sharpe, T., Hall, G., Shea, T.P., Sykes, S., et al. (2011). High-quality draft assemblies of mammalian genomes from massively parallel sequence data. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *108*, 1513–1518.
- Pryszcz, L.P., and Gabaldón, T. (2016). Redundans: an assembly pipeline for highly heterozygous genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 44, e113.
- 49. English, A.C., Richards, S., Han, Y., Wang, M., Vee, V., Qu, J., Qin, X., Muzny, D.M., Reid, J.G., Worley, K.C., and Gibbs, R.A. (2012). Mind the gap: upgrading genomes with Pacific Biosciences RS long-read sequencing technology. PLoS ONE 7, e47768.
- Simão, F.A., Waterhouse, R.M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E.V., and Zdobnov, E.M. (2015). BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics 31, 3210– 3212.
- Smit, A., Hubley, R., and Green, P. (2015). RepeatMasker Open-3.0. http:// www.repeatmasker.org.
- 52. Smit, A., and Hubley, R. (2013-2015). RepeatMasker Open-4.0. http://www.repeatmasker.org.
- Cantarel, B.L., Korf, I., Robb, S.M.C., Parra, G., Ross, E., Moore, B., Holt, C., Sánchez Alvarado, A., and Yandell, M. (2008). MAKER: an easy-to-use annotation pipeline designed for emerging model organism genomes. Genome Res. 18, 188–196.
- 54. Stanke, M., Schöffmann, O., Morgenstern, B., and Waack, S. (2006). Gene prediction in eukaryotes with a generalized hidden Markov model that uses hints from external sources. BMC Bioinformatics 7, 62.
- Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29, 15–21.
- 56. Trapnell, C., Roberts, A., Goff, L., Pertea, G., Kim, D., Kelley, D.R., Pimentel, H., Salzberg, S.L., Rinn, J.L., and Pachter, L. (2012). Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. Nat. Protoc. 7, 562–578.

 The UniProt Consortium (2018). UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. Nucleic Acids Res. 46, 2699.

Current Biology

- Emms, D.M., and Kelly, S. (2015). OrthoFinder: solving fundamental biases in whole genome comparisons dramatically improves orthogroup inference accuracy. Genome Biol. 16, 157.
- Wang, Y., Tang, H., Debarry, J.D., Tan, X., Li, J., Wang, X., Lee, T.H., Jin, H., Marler, B., Guo, H., et al. (2012). MCScanX: a toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, e49.
- McLeay, R.C., and Bailey, T.L. (2010). Motif Enrichment Analysis: a unified framework and an evaluation on ChIP data. BMC Bioinformatics 11, 165.
- Librado, P., Vieira, F.G., and Rozas, J. (2012). BadiRate: estimating family turnover rates by likelihood-based methods. Bioinformatics 28, 279–281.
- Adamec, L. (1997). How to grow Aldrovanda vesiculosa outdoors. Carniv. Plant Newsl. 26, 85–88.
- 63. Clouse, J.W., Adhikary, D., Page, J.T., Ramaraj, T., Deyholos, M.K., Udall, J.A., Fairbanks, D.J., Jellen, E.N., and Maughan, P.J. (2016). The amaranth genome: genome, transcriptome, and physical map assembly. Plant Genome 9, 1–14.
- 64. Zou, C., Chen, A., Xiao, L., Muller, H.M., Ache, P., Haberer, G., Zhang, M., Jia, W., Deng, P., Huang, R., et al. (2017). A high-quality genome assembly of quinoa provides insights into the molecular basis of salt bladder-based salinity tolerance and the exceptional nutritional value. Cell Res. 27, 1327– 1340.
- Jones, P., Binns, D., Chang, H.-Y., Fraser, M., Li, W., McAnulla, C., McWilliam, H., Maslen, J., Mitchell, A., Nuka, G., et al. (2014). InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. Bioinformatics 30, 1236–1240.
- 66. Shameer, K., Naika, M.B., Mathew, O.K., and Sowdhamini, R. (2014). POEAS: automated plant phenomic analysis using plant ontology. Bioinform. Biol. Insights 8, 209–214.
- Katoh, K., and Standley, D.M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 772–780.
- Emms, D.M., and Kelly, S. (2017). STRIDE: species tree root inference from gene duplication events. Mol. Biol. Evol. 34, 3267–3278.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Suleski, M., and Hedges, S.B. (2017). TimeTree: a resource for timelines, timetrees, and divergence times. Mol. Biol. Evol. 34, 1812–1819.
- 70. Khan, A., Fornes, O., Stigliani, A., Gheorghe, M., Castro-Mondragon, J.A., van der Lee, R., Bessy, A., Chèneby, J., Kulkarni, S.R., Tan, G., et al. (2018). JASPAR 2018: update of the open-access database of transcription factor binding profiles and its web framework. Nucleic Acids Res. 46 (D1), D260–D266.
- Grant, C.E., Bailey, T.L., and Noble, W.S. (2011). FIMO: scanning for occurrences of a given motif. Bioinformatics 27, 1017–1018.



STAR***METHODS**

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Biological Samples		
Dionaea muscipula tissue	Own greenhouse or axenic culture	N/A
Aldrovanda vesiculosa	Own greenhouse or axenic culture	N/A
Drosera spatulata	Own greenhouse or axenic culture	N/A
Critical Commercial Assays		
Genomic-tip 20/G	QIAGEN	10223
innuPREP Plant DNA Kit	Alalytik Jena	845-KS-1060250
Fruit-mate	TaKaRa Clontech	9192
NucleoSpin RNA Plant Kit	Macherey & Nagel	740949.50
PureLink Plant RNA Reagent	ThermoFisher	12322012
RNeasy Plant Mini Kit	QIAGEN	74904
Deposited Data		
Dionaea muscipula sequence data	N/A	PRJEB35195
Aldrovanda vesiculosa sequence data	N/A	PRJEB35196
Drosera spatulata sequence data	N/A	PRJDB9009
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
Dionaea muscipula	Own greenhouse or axenic culture	N/A
Aldrovanda vesiculosa	Own greenhouse or axenic culture	N/A
Drosera spatulata	Own greenhouse or axenic culture	N/A
Software and Algorithms		
Canu v1.5	[44]	https://github.com/marbl/canu/releases/tag/v1.5
Bowtie2 v2.3.1	[45]	http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/bowtie2/index. shtml
Pilon v1.22	[46]	https://github.com/broadinstitute/pilon
ALLPATHS	[47]	http://software.broadinstitute.org/allpaths-lg/ blog/
Redundans	[48]	https://github.com/lpryszcz/redundans
PBJelly	[49]	https://sourceforge.net/p/pb-jelly/wiki/Home/
BUSCO	[50]	https://busco.ezlab.org/
RepeatMasker	[51]	http://www.repeatmasker.org
RepeatModeller	[52]	http://www.repeatmasker.org
Reper	[16]	https://github.com/nterhoeven/reper
Maker	[53]	https://yandell-lab.org/software/maker.html
Augustus	[54]	http://bioinf.uni-greifswald.de/augustus/
STAR	[55]	https://github.com/alexdobin/STAR
Cufflinks	[56]	http://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/cufflinks/
InterProScan	[57]	https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/download/
Orthofinder	[58]	https://github.com/davidemms/OrthoFinder
MCScanX	[59]	http://chibba.pgml.uga.edu/mcscan2/
Meme-suite	[60]	http://meme-suite.org/
BadiRate	[61]	https://github.com/fgvieira/badirate
R 3.5.1	N/A	https://www.R-project.org/
Other		
Assemblies, predicted transcripts and proteins	N/A	http://www.carnivorom.org/resources



LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Prof. Jörg Schultz (Joerg. Schultz@uni-wuerzburg.de).

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Plant growth conditions

A. vesiculosa: Plants were axenically grown in a 16h light (40-55 μ Mol * m² * s⁻¹) /8h dark regime at 24°C/16°C. The medium was composed as follows: KNO₃ - 5 mM; CaCl₂ x 2 H₂O - 500 μ M; MgSO₄ x 7 H₂O - 500 μ M; NaH₂PO₄ - 500 μ M; (NH₄)₂SO₄ - 500 μ M; H₃BO₃- 100 μ M; MnSO₄ x 1 H₂O - 100 μ M; ZnSO₄ x 7 H₂O - 60 μ M; KJ - 4 μ M; Na₂MoO₄ x 2 H₂O - 1,2 μ M; CuSO₄ x 5 H₂O - 100 nM; CoCl₂ x 6 H₂O - 100 nM; Peptone - 250 mg/l; Inosit - 550 nM; Glycin - 27 nM; Nicotinic acid - 4 nM; Thiamin-HCl - 0,3 nM; Pyridoxin-HCl - 3 nM; FeNaEDTA - 100 nM; Sucrose - 20 g/l. pH was adjusted to 5.8.

Di. muscipula: Callus tissue was grown under sterile conditions on 1/3 MS Medium supplemented with 3% sucrose, 1% Agar, pH 5.6-5.8. 3 mg/ml 6-Benzylamonopurine and 0,5µg/ml 2,4-D (synthetic auxin) were added. Calli were grown at 23°C in the dark.

Dr. spatulata: Plants were grown in half strength MS medium supplemented with 30 g/L sucrose and Gamborg's vitamins (pH 5.8) under continuous light and 25C.

METHOD DETAILS

Flow cytometric determination of nuclear DNA contents

Absolute nuclear DNA contents (pg/2C) were determined on nuclei isolated from very young leaves/traps (about 1-1.5 cm long) of *Dionaea muscipula* plants grown in the greenhouse and shoot tips of *in vitro* grown *Aldrovanda vesiculosa*. Therefore, this plant material was co-chopped using a razor blade in 400 μ L of the nuclei isolation buffer (Kit: CyStain PI Absolute P, Sysmex Deutschland GmbH, Norderstedt, Germany) with 0.5 cm² young leaf tissue of the respective references chosen as internal standards, i.e., *Pisum sativum* cultivar 'Viktoria, Kifejto Borso' (9.07 pg/2C, genebank IPK Gatersleben acc. no. PIS 630) for *D. muscipula* and *Solanum ly-copersicum* cultivar 'Stupicke Polni Rane' (1.96 pg/2C, genebank IPK Gatersleben acc. no. LYC 418). After 2 min, the suspension was filtered through 30 μ m mesh (Celltric filters, Sysmex) and the filtrate was mixed with 1600 μ L of the staining solution of the kit (CyStain PI Absolute P, Sysmex) containing propidium iodide, RNase and supplemented with 1% polyvinylpyrrolidone-10. After an incubation of at least 1 h in dark conditions and on ice, flow cytometric measurements were carried out using a CyFlow Ploidy Analyzer (Sysmex Partec GmbH, Münster, Germany). For each genotype, five measurements of independent samples were taken at different days, recording a minimum number of 632 nuclei in the main peak of the species of interest, with an average number of 2130 nuclei. Peaks were automatically detected by the flow cytometer software and nuclear DNA contents of the samples (pg/2C) were calculated by dividing the sample mean G0/G1 peak position by the reference mean G0/G1 peak position and multiplication by the reference DNA content (pg/2C).

Sequencing

Genomic

A. vesiculosa: Genomic DNA was isolated from axenically grown Aldrovanda vesiculosa plants using a modified CTAB/Chloroformlsoamylalcohol-based protocol. In brief, 1 g of frozen plant material was powdered in liquid nitrogen and thawed in 19ml of extraction buffer (2% CTAB, 2% PVP/MW 10,000, 100 mM Tris-HCl, 1.4 M NaCl, 20 mM EDTA) and 120 mg PVPP. After incubation at 63°C for 1 h, cell debris was removed by centrifugation (30 min, RT, 3,200 x g). 2.5 mg RNase were added to the supernatant and incubated at 37°C for 1h. Following addition of 50 μ l Proteinase K (20 mg/ml) and 45min incubation at 37°C, the sample was extracted with 1 volume of chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (24:1, v/v). Phases were separated by centrifugation (15 min, RT, 2700xg) and the DNA was precipitated from the aequeous phase by addition of 0.6 volumes of Isopropanol. After 1 h of incubation on ice, the gDNA was precipitated by centrifugation (30min, RT, 3,200 x g). After two washing steps with 70% ethanol, gDNA was dried at 37°C for 15 min, resuspended in TE-buffer and stored for subsequent use at 4°C. Quantity and quality of the resulting DNA were determined by capillary electrophoresis (Experion, Bio-Rad Laboratories), fluorometrically (Qubit, Thermo Fisher Scientific) or spectrophotometrically (NanoDrop 2000, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Accession number: PRJEB35196

Dr. spatulata: Genomic DNA was isolated from shoots of aseptically grown plants of the "common" strain of diploid *Dr. spatulata*. Collected shoots were homogenized in liquid nitrogen using a mortar and a pestle. The homogenate was transferred into 2x CTAB extraction buffer (2% cetyltrimethylammonium bromide [CTAB], 1.4 M NaCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 20 mM EDTA [pH 8.0], 1% PVP40) preheated to 80°C and supplied with 1/1,000 volume of 14.1 M β -mercaptoethanol, and was gently agitated at 65°C for 1 h. An equal volume of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (25:1) was added, and agitated using a rotator at 30 rpm for 10 min at room temperature. After centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 30 min at room temperature, supernatants were transferred to new tubes, and supplemented with 1/10 volume of 10% CTAB and an equal amount of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (25:1). The tubes were shaken with a



rotator at 30 rpm for 10 min. After centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 30 min, supernatants were again transferred to new tubes and an equal volume of isopropanol was added. The tubes were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 30 min, and supernatants were discarded. The crude DNA pellet was rinsed twice with 5 mL of 70% EtOH and air-dried for 5 min. The pellet was dissolved in 100 μ L of TE (pH 8.0) containing 0.1-1 μ L 1 μ g/ μ L RNase A, and gently agitated for 60 min at 37°C. A 1/20 volume of 20 mg/ml Proteinase K was added, and tubes were incubated at 56°C for 30 min. Subsequently, the DNA solution was further purified using Genomic-tip (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's instruction. DNA concentration was determined using fluorometer Qubit 2.0 (Thermo Scientific).

Accession number: PRJDB9009

Di. muscipula: Genomic DNA from Dionaea muscipula was extracted from 500 mg of sterile callus tissue using the innuPREP Plant DNA Kit (analytikjena) according to the manufacturerś instructions. Quantity and quality of the resulting DNA were determined by capillary electrophoresis (Experion, Bio-Rad Laboratories), fluorometrically (Qubit, Thermo Fisher Scientific) or spectrophotometrically (NanoDrop 2000, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Accession number: PRJEB35195

Transcriptomic

A. vesiculosa

Plant material of Aldrovanda vesiculosa was kindly provided by Lubomir Adamec from the collection in the Institute of Botany of the Czech Academy of Sciences at Trebon, Czech Republic, and cultivated in the Botanic Gardens Wuerzburg in a water tank [62].

Mature, healthy & non-flowering Aldrovanda vesiculosa plants were frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground to a fine powder. To remove polysaccharides and polyphenols, 200 mg of frozen plant powder were resuspended in 350µl Fruit-mate (TaKaRa Clontech). After cell debris was removed by centrifugation (10 min, 4°C, 11,000 x g), the supernatant was subjected to RNA purification using the NucleoSpin RNA Plant Kit (Macherey & Nagel) according to the manufacturerś instructions. Quantity and quality of the resulting RNA were determined by capillary electrophoresis (Experion, Bio-Rad Laboratories), fluorometrically (Qubit, Thermo Fisher Scientific) or spectrophotometrically (NanoDrop 2000, Thermo Fisher Scientific). One TruSeq RNA library was generated, and RNA sequencing was performed using an Illumina MiSeg sequencer at LGC Genomics.

Accession number: ERX3632913

Dr. spatulata

Total RNA was isolated from whole plant, mature leaves, and roots of aseptically grown plants, and inflorescences of plants grown on peat pots in three biological replicates. Plant materials were put in a 2 mL tube with a φ5 mm zirconia bead, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and ground using TissueLyser (QIAGEN) at 25 Hz for 2 min. Then, total RNA was extracted using PureLink Plant RNA Reagent (Thermo Scientific) according to the manufacture's protocol. Subsequently, the DNA solution was further purified using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Total RNA quality was analyzed using 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent). Total RNA concentration was determined using Qubit and NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Sequencing libraries for whole transcriptome analysis were produced from total RNA of *Dr. spatulata* using TruSeq Stranded mRNA HT Sample Prep Kit (Illumina) as the manufacturer's protocol. Sequencing was performed with HiSeq1500 (Illumina) at the conditions of HO mode, v4 reagent, and paired-end sequencing of 2x126 bp.

Accession numbers: PRJDB9009

Assembly Strategies

The PacBio reads of *Dr. spatulata* and *A. vesiculosa* were assembled with canu [44] (version 1.5, default parameter settings, except for genomeSize). Following, Illumina reads were mapped to the assembly [45] (bowtie2 version 2.3.1; default parameter settings) and an error correction was performed with pilon [46] (version 1.22; default parameter).

For *Di. muscipula*, an assembly was generated using ALLPATHS [47] with a minimum contig size of 200 bp and haploidification enabled. As overlapping paired-end libraries, digitally normalized reads obtained through an improved normalization run with bbnorm.sh were used. For scaffolding, the mate-pair libraries Dm_GenII_[001-018] plus the paired-end libraries with insert sizes of 500 bp and 800 bp (Dm_GenII_[027-032]) as well as artificial mate-pair generated from corrected PacBio reads (dm-pb2il-[1235]000-01, dm-pb2il-15000-01) were supplied. Two additional post-processing steps were performed to further improve the assembly. First, Redundans [48] was used to identify and merge redundant scaffolds that resulted from the assembly of different heterozygous regions into separate contigs. Second, PBJelly [49] was applied to further increase the contiguity of the assembly by scaffolding and extending the available sequences and filling N containing gaps.

The completeness of all three assemblies was evaluated using BUSCO [50] version 3.0.1.

Assemblies and predicted genes are accessible at http://www.carnivorom.org/resources

Annotation

Repetitive elements

All assemblies were annotated with RepeatMasker [51] and species-specific repeat libraries generated with RepeatModeler [52]. Complementary, repetitive elements were annotated in an assembly free approach based on Illumina sequences using reper [16]. *TE Age estimation*

As result, reper reports cluster with one or many assembled retrotransposon sequences. For each cluster, the distance of each sequence to an exemplar (the longest sequence) is calculated according to the Jukes and Cantor model [35] as $d_{AB} = -3/4$



model name	setup	replicates	parameters
gr	Global Rate	3	2
fr	Free rate	3	44
ar	Asteraceae + Caryophyllales + Background	3	6
db	Drosera + Background	3	4
vb	Dionaea + Background	3	4
ab	Aldrovanda + Background	3	4
av	Snap trap + Background	3	4

 $ln(1 - 4/3 f_{AB})$ where d_{AB} is the evolutionary distance between the sequences A and B and f_{AB} is the fraction of observed differences between A and B. Additionally, read coverage for each sequence as well as average Jukes Cantor distance of all reads compared to the assembled sequence was considered. The number of occurrences of each sequence in the genome was calculated as: $q = (n_{read} / coverage) * (l_{read} / l_{aseq})$ with the read-count (n_{read}), genomic coverage, read-length (l_{read}) and assembled-sequence-length (l_{aseq}). Finally, every distance between an exemplar and an individual sequence was counted once and the distance between an individual sequence and its reads (q - 1) times.

Gene Prediction

An iterative gene prediction was performed with Maker [53]. As evidence, all plant proteins in the swissprot database [57] (downloaded on 6. Dec 2017), predicted proteins of Amaranth (*Amaranthus hypocondriacus*) [63] and Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*) [64] and an augustus model [54] of *A. thaliana* was used. Additionally, transcriptomic data for each plant were used. Therefore, transcriptomic reads were aligned to the respective genome assembly [55] and assembled [56]. The first iteration of maker was run using the assembled transcriptome as gff file, the *A. thaliana* augustus model, as well as the plant proteins mentioned above as evidence. The resulting annotation was then used to train the snap HMMs. These HMMs were then used as evidence for the second maker iteration. Then, a second snap training was conducted using the genes from this maker run. Finally, a third maker run was started using the second snap results.

Functional annotation

Domains and GeneOntology annotations were predicted with Interproscan Version 5.25-64.0 [65]. GO enrichments were calculated in R 3.5.1 environment using hypergeometric tests and Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multiple testing.

A. thaliana orthologs of *Di. muscipula* genes were used for Plant Ontology (PO)-term enrichment with "parent-child-union" and Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multiple testing [66].

Orthology prediction

Orthology prediction for the 12 species Eudicot and for the 11 species Caryophyllales dataset, was performed using Orthofinder 2.2.6 [58] with msa option using mafft aligner version 7.158b [67].

Species tree reconstruction

The Species tree was reconstructed using STRIDE in the Orthofinder suite [68]. Time estimation was performed with r8s setting the split of *Aquilegia coerulea* and Eudicots to 122-134 Mya and the Rosid and (Asterid-Caryophyllales) split to 110-124 Mya based on TimeTree estimates [69].

Genome Duplication

Fourfold degenerative transversion (4dTv) rates were calculated by aligning all orthologous or paralogous gene pairs using DECI-PHER's codon alignment suit (http://www2.decipher.codes) and then calculating 4dTv distances using the seqinr 3.1-5 R package (http://seqinr.r-forge.r-project.org). To assess the depth of duplications and investigate tandemly duplicated genes, we analyzed the 3 genomes using MCScanX [59].

TF binding site prediction

To identify candidate TF factor binding site in the tissue specific genes, sequences 950 bases upstream to 50 bases into the gene were extracted. JASPAR CORE [70] redundant database was used as reference for plant transcription factor motifs [33]. Enrichment of motifs was calculated by "Analysis of Motif Enrichment" (AME) [60]of the meme-suite ver. 5.0.1. Control sequences for a set of sequences specific to a single tissue were composed of the entirety of all tissue specific gene sequences except for the tissue in question. FIMO ("Find Individual Motif Occurrences") [71], also found in the meme suite ver. 5.0.1, was used to scan tissue specific sequences for motifs of transcription factors identified by AME.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Birth-Death Innovation Model

BadiRate branch models

Using the 12-species Eudicots orthogroups we calculated a Birth-Death-Innovation model for the family turnover rate using Badi-Rate [61] as described in [11]. We selected seven different branch models (Table 1). Analysis and results were calculated using the



badirater R package (https://palfalvi.github.io/badirater/), which was developed as an R interface for large-scale studies using Badi-Rate. Branch models were considered significant if a weighted Akaike information criterion (wAIC) ratio was larger than 2.7.

Tissue Specificity

To identify tissue specific genes the Shannon entropy for every gene in every tissue was calculated. Therefore, all transcriptomic reads were mapped onto the reference genome using STAR [55]. Next, expression was quantified using Cuffquant [56] and normalized with Cuffnorm resulting in FPKM values for each gene and sample. For each tissue, the mean was taken over all samples. Following, R was used to calculate the Shannon Entropy [28]. First, the relative expression ($p_{t|g}$) of each gene (g) in each tissue (t) based on the FPKM-value $w_{g,t}$ was calculated as $p_{t|g} = w_{g,t} / \Sigma w_{g,t}$. Next, the entropy of the gene's expression distribution (H_g) was computed as $H_g = \Sigma - p_{t|g} \cdot \log_2(p_{t|g})$. Finally, the tissue specificity ($Q_{g|t}$) could be derived as $Q_{g|t} = H_g - \log_2(p_{t|g})$. Here, a small value for Q-value indicates tissue specificity of gene g for tissue t. The Q-value cut-off to determine the 1 and 5% most specific genes was calculated by means of probability density: Q-values for each gene in each tissue were collectively pooled for calculation of the probability density curve. A Laplace-distribution was fitted to the probability density and the Q-value where the area under the curve accounted for 1% or 5% of the data respectively, was then used as a threshold for specificity across each tissue individually.

DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

All sequence information has been uploaded to the Short Read Archive (SRA). Genomic data: *A. vesiculosa* - PRJEB35196; *Dr. spatulata* - PRJDB9009; *Di. muscipula* - PRJEB35195. Transcriptomic data: *A. vesiculosa* - ERX3632913; *Dr. spatulata* - PRJDB9009.